UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,093	09/29/2005	Stephen C. P. Joseph	58502US004	1630	
	7590 08/12/200 IVE PROPERTIES CO		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427				AVIS D	
S1. PAUL, MN	1 33133-3427		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3752		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/12/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/551,093	JOSEPH ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Davis Hwu	3752				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Davis Hwu</u> .	(3) <u>Mr. Stephen Joseph</u> .					
(2) <u>Mr.Steven Skolnick</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>06 August 2009</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant 2	r)∏ applicant's representative	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes If Yes, brief description: showed the product and its imp	e)⊡ No. <u>provement feature</u> .					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Morck.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained their invention and the difference between the invention and the prior art device, and the examiner understands and agrees with the differences. Applicant will consider their next action and the examiner will respond in turn. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Davis Hwu/						